EXHIBIT H

```
Page 1
 1
                            SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
                            LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
 2
                            DOCKET NO. MID-L-006651-16ASL
                                       MID-L-007336-16AS
 3
                            APPELLATE DOCKET NO.
 4
         DWAYNE JOHNSON,
                                                ) TRANSCRIPT OF
                            Plaintiff,
                                               ) TRIAL
 6
            v.
         AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL,
 7
          INDUSTRIES, et al.,
                            Defendants.
 8
         MARGARET R. LANGLEY LASHLEY AND
         EDWARD G. LASHLEY,
 9
                                                 (VOLUME 1 of 2)
                            Plaintiffs,
10
            v.
         AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIES,
11
         et al.,
                            Defendants.
                                                )
12
13
                                 Middlesex Courthouse
                       Place:
14
                                  56 Paterson Street
                                  New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903
15
                                  Wednesday, March 11, 2020
16
                       Date:
                                  9:14 a.m.
17
                                   (Volume 1 of 2)
                                  (Pages 1 - 200)
18
19
         BEFORE:
            HON. ANA C. VISCOMI, J.S.C. and JURY
20
21
         TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:
22
23
             JOSEPH MANDIA, ESQ.
             (Simon Greenstone Panatier)
             5 Penn Plaza
24
            Suite 2308
            New York, New York 10001
25
```

	Page 2	Page
	APPEARANCES:	1 EXHIBITS
2	JOSEPH MANDIA, ESQ. SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER	2 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
3	5 Penn Plaza	3 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	Suite 2308 New York, New York 10001	4 Plaintiffs' Exhibit Stimuli to the Revision 121
	-and	1070 Process Modernization of
5	STUART PURDY, ESQ. SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER	5 Asbestos Testing by U.S.P.
6	3780 Kilroy Airport Way	Plaintiff's Exhibit Executive Summary of the 148 6 1076 Interagency Working Group on
-	Suite 540	Asbestos in Consumer
7	Long Beach, California 90806 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,	7 Products
8	Dwayne Johnson, and	Plaintiffs' Exhibit J4-1 Test method 129
9	Margaret R. Langley Lashley and Edward G. Lashley	8 1471
10	Edward G. Edsiney	Plaintiffs' Exhibit Reliance materials 155
11	ROBERT E. THACKSTON, ESQ.	9 1729
12	LATHROP GPM LLP 2101 Cedar Springs Road	10
	Suite 1400	11
13	Dallas, Texas 75201 -and-	12 EXHIBITS
14	ROY F. VIOLA, JR., ESQ.	13 MARKED INTO EVIDENCE
15	HAWKINS, PARNELL & YOUNG, LLP 600 Lexington Avenue	14 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
13	8th Floor	15 Court Exhibit C-1 Juror question 166
16	New York, New York 10022	Court Exhibit C-2 Juror question 167
17	Attorneys for Defendant, American International Industries	16 Court Exhibit C-3 Juror question 168
18		18
19 20		19
21	ANDREA F. NOCKS, CCR, CRR	20
22	PRIORITY ONE	21
22	290 West Mount Pleasant Avenue Livingston, New Jersey 07039	22
23	(718) 983-1234	23
24	E-mail: p1steno@veritext.com	24
	Job No. NJ4011555	25
	Page 3	
1	INDEX	1 COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
2		2 (Jury enters.)
3	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please
4	WITNESSES	4 be seated. Make sure cell phones are turned off.
5	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	5 How about we ask Dr. Compton to return.
	STEVEN COMPTON	1
6		6 Good morning, Dr. Compton.
7	BY MR. THACKSTON 6	7 Today is March 11, 2020. This is the
8	BY MR. PURDY 112	8 continued trial in the matter of Margaret and Edward
9		9 Lashley versus American International Industries and
10	JACQUELINE MOLINE	10 Dwayne Johnson versus American International
11	BY MR. THACKSTON 160	11 Industries.
12	21 11110101011 100	
13		13 plaintiffs.
14		14 MR. PURDY: Yes. Good morning again, your
15		15 Honor. Stuart Purdy for the plaintiffs.
10		16 MR. MANDIA: Good morning, your Honor.
16		
16 17		17 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs.
16 17 18		Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs.THE COURT: Thank you.
16 17 18		 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. THE COURT: Thank you. For the defendants.
16 17 18 19		Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs.THE COURT: Thank you.
16 17 18 19 20		 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. THE COURT: Thank you. For the defendants.
16 17 18 19 20 21		 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. THE COURT: Thank you. For the defendants. MR. THACKSTON: Good morning, your Hono Robert Thackston and Roy Viola.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. THE COURT: Thank you. For the defendants. MR. THACKSTON: Good morning, your Hono Robert Thackston and Roy Viola. THE COURT: Thank you very much.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		17 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 For the defendants. 20 MR. THACKSTON: Good morning, your Hono 21 Robert Thackston and Roy Viola. 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 23 So, members of the jury, as you may recall,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 Joseph Mandia for the plaintiffs. THE COURT: Thank you. For the defendants. MR. THACKSTON: Good morning, your Hono Robert Thackston and Roy Viola. THE COURT: Thank you very much.

Document 28952-8 PageID: 172215

Document 28952-8 PageID: 172216

	Page 194		Page 196
1	Q And they	1	A He's a Ph.D. microscopist who's within the
2	A If they have both those types of data.	2	department of pathology.
3	Q And some of the registries have occupational	3	Q He's not a medical doctor?
4	codes; they have a number for, say, 53 different	4	A Correct.
5	occupations, and they use the occupational codes and	5	Q This is titled, Mesothelioma Associated With
6	compare the cancer instances to the different	6	the Use of Cosmetic Talc, right?
7	occupations, right?	7	A Correct.
8	A Right. And then some will be more sophisticated	8	Q And I think you said on direct, and correct
9	in terms of actual exposures rather than a job code	9	me if I paraphrase it wrong, but there wasn't any kind
10	because a job code may not be, tell the whole story.	10	of epidemiological study on cosmetic talc and
11	Q Generally speaking, the epidemiological	11	mesothelioma, so this, you felt this was going to be
12	studies that have considered whether there are	12	the first one, right?
13	occupations that have an increased risk of mesothelioma	13	A What I said is I was unaware of any study on
14	have identified certain occupations that consistently	14	cosmetic talc end users, apart from a couple of case
15	identified certain occupations that have an increased	15	reports, one of some of which I showed on that slide
16	risk of mesothelioma, right?	16	earlier. So this was the first case series, meaning
17	A In general, yes, particularly the construction	17	multiple cases that had been compiled of folks who used
18	trades and the shipbuilding industry.	18	cosmetic talc.
19	Q Insulators, pipefitters, plumbers, those are	19	Q And you compared it to the study, you have 33
20	ones that have been shown to have a statistically	20	people and you compared it to a study done by
21	significant increased risk of mesothelioma, right?	21	Dr. Wagner, W-a-g-n-e-r, who studied 33 people who had
22	A Correct.	22	mesothelioma in South Africa?
23	Q And there's never been an epidemiological	23	A I didn't compare it. I used it as a number
24	study that has concluded that hairdressers or barbers	24	because that had been the number that was used when
25	have a statistically significant increased risk of	25	they had that first study that showed an association
1	Page 195 mesothelioma, has there?	1	Page 197
1	A Not that I recall specifically, no.	1 2	between asbestos and mesothelioma, and so I picked 33 for that purpose. I wasn't comparing the 33 in my
2 3	Q And are you familiar with the epidemiology,	3	paper to the 33 in Dr. Wagner's paper.
4	the epidemiological study of cancer in hairdressers	4	Q They were studying people who were diagnosed
5	that, an IARC study, that looked at whether their	5	with mesothelioma, and one of the authors on that study
6	cancer is related to the colorings used by a	6	was one of the physicians who had, whose patients had
7	hairdresser?	7	died from mesothelioma, right?
8	A You'd have to show it to me. I'm not sure.	8	A To be honest, I don't know the role. I think
9	Q Let me ask you about your paper. Do you need	9	Wagner is a pathologist. I don't know who the others
10	a copy of your paper?	10	that had treated the patients were. I wasn't around in
11	A If you're going to ask me specific questions, yes.	11	1960, so I don't know.
12	Q Do you recognize this as the paper that you	12	Q Me either. Barely.
13	wrote along with the other folks that are on	13	So this paper, though, is not, does not
14	A Yes.	14	relate to any patient that you've ever treated, no one
15	Q And I won't pronounce their names because	15	with whom you've had a physician/patient relationship,
16	they're hard to pronounce, but you're welcome to read	16	right?
17	them out if you'd like.	17	A No. These were all individuals some of the
18	A I'd be happy to read them out. It's Kristin	18	individuals I had the opportunity to interview, but I
19	Bevilacqua, Maya Alexandri and Ronald Gordon.	19	was not their treating physician.
20	Q So Dr. Gordon is the same Dr. Gordon who was	20	Q So these are all cases that came to you by
21	one of the authors of the Gordon Fitzgerald Millette	21	way of your legal consultations, right?
22	paper?	22	A Correct.
1		22	O And it gazza that the aggs histories that you
23	A Correct.	23	Q And it says that the case histories that you
1	A Correct. Q And he's a Ph.D. pathologist at Mount Sinai, right?	23 24 25	have here by the way, the premise of your paper is that these are cases of mesothelioma, either pleural or

	D 100		D 200
1	Page 198	1	Page 200 CERTIFICATION
1	peritoneal, that were the only known exposure is	2	CERTIFICATION
2	cosmetic tale, right?	3	I, ANDREA F. NOCKS, C.S.R., License Number
3	A As best we could ascertain, that that was their	4	30XI00157300, a Certified Court Reporter, in and for the
4	only exposure. They did not have any other exposure	5	State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to
5	that I was able to glean from the materials I had been	6	be prepared in full compliance with the current
6	provided.	7	Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true
7	Q But now let's talk about that a little bit.	8	and accurate non-compressed transcript to the best of my
8	Materials, you mention here, "Data gathered	9	knowledge and ability.
9	for 33 patients was gleaned from individuals' medical	10	knowledge and ability.
10	records and sworn testimony, deposition transcripts of	10	Andrea Nodes cor cer
11	individuals." Right?	11	ANDREA F. NOCKS MARCH 11, 2020
12	A Correct.	12	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
13	Q Now, the only medical records you would have	13	MIDDLESEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE
14	had would have been medical records sent to you	14	WIDDLESEA COUNTY COURTHOUSE
15	generally by the firms that retained you, right?	15	
16	A Yes, that are retained through a medical record	16	
17	service that in general, or sometimes, I don't know how	17	
18	the medical records are all obtained. I know some of	18	
19	them have the medical record service cover letters, so	19	
20	they're obtained and then they're sent to me.	20	
21	Q Doctors seem to have some centralized access	21	
22	to medical records now, they type it up when you go in	22	
23	to see them.	23	
24	You didn't have access to anyone's medical	24	
25	files other than something that was sent to you in the	25	
1	Page 199 context of litigation?		
2	A First of all, there's no centralized medical		
3	center like that in this country. Everyone's hospital		
	has a proprietary system. And unless you have direct		
4			
5	patient contact with an individual, it's you don't		
6	look up another patient, and I certainly would not have		
7	access to another hospital's electronic medical		
8	records. These were all sent to me by plaintiffs'		
9	counsel.		
10	Q And it says, "Cases reviewed by occupational		
11	physician with experience evaluating asbestos exposure		
12	in thousands of patients." And that's you, right?		
13	A Correct.		
14	Q And then, "Exposure data obtained from sworn		
15	testimony about the cases, which included questioning		
16	regarding all sources of asbestos exposure. This		
17	included family, occupational histories, parents and		
18	anyone cohabitating with the patient were all cases to		
19	assess potential asbestos exposure, hobbies," et		
20	cetera, right?		
21	A Correct.		
22	(Continuation of the day's proceedings in		
23	Volume 2.)		
24			
25			

```
Page 201
 1
                            SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
                            LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY
 2
                            DOCKET NO. MID-L-006651-16ASL
                                       MID-L-007336-16AS
 3
                            APPELLATE DOCKET NO.
 4
         DWAYNE JOHNSON,
                                                ) TRANSCRIPT OF
                            Plaintiff,
                                                ) TRIAL
 6
             v.
         AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL,
 7
          INDUSTRIES, et al.,
                            Defendants.
 8
         MARGARET R. LANGLEY LASHLEY AND
         EDWARD G. LASHLEY,
 9
                                                 (VOLUME 2 of 2)
                            Plaintiffs,
10
             v.
         AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIES,
11
         et al.,
                            Defendants.
                                                )
12
13
                                 Middlesex Courthouse
                       Place:
14
                                  56 Paterson Street
                                  New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903
15
                                  Wednesday, March 11, 2020
16
                       Date:
                                  9:14 a.m.
17
                                   (Volume 2 of 2)
                                   (Pages 201 - 238)
18
19
         BEFORE:
             HON. ANA C. VISCOMI, J.S.C. and JURY
20
21
         TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:
2.2
             JOSEPH MANDIA, ESO.
23
             (Simon Greenstone Panatier)
             5 Penn Plaza
24
             Suite 2308
             New York, New York 10001
25
```

	Page 202	Page 20
1	APPEARANCES:	1 EXHIBITS
2	JOSEPH MANDIA, ESQ. SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER	2 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
3	5 Penn Plaza	3 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
4	Suite 2308	4 Plaintiffs' Exhibit Stimuli to the Revision 121
4	New York, New York 10001 -and	1070 Process Modernization of
5	STUART PURDY, ESQ.	5 Asbestos Testing by U.S.P.
6	SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER 3780 Kilroy Airport Way	Plaintiff's Exhibit Executive Summary of the 148
0	Suite 540	6 1076 Interagency Working Group on
7	Long Beach, California 90806	Asbestos in Consumer
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Dwayne Johnson, and	7 Products Plaintiffs' Exhibit J4-1 Test method 129
	Margaret R. Langley Lashley and	8 1471
9 10	Edward G. Lashley	Plaintiffs' Exhibit Reliance materials 155
11	ROBERT E. THACKSTON, ESQ.	9 1729
12	LATHROP GPM LLP	10
12	2101 Cedar Springs Road Suite 1400	11
13	Dallas, Texas 75201	12 EXHIBITS
14	-and- ROY F. VIOLA, JR., ESQ.	13 MARKED INTO EVIDENCE
. 7	HAWKINS, PARNELL & YOUNG, LLP	14 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
15	600 Lexington Avenue	15 Court Exhibit C-1 Juror question 166
16	8th Floor New York, New York 10022	Court Exhibit C-2 Juror question 167
	Attorneys for Defendant,	16 Court Exhibit C-3 Juror question 168
17 18	American International Industries	17
19		18
20	ANIDREA E MOCKE COR CRR	19
21	ANDREA F. NOCKS, CCR, CRR PRIORITY ONE	20
22	290 West Mount Pleasant Avenue	21
23	Livingston, New Jersey 07039 (718) 983-1234	22
23	E-mail: plsteno@veritext.com	23 24
24 25	Job No. NJ4011555	25
	Page 203	Page 20
1	INDEX	1 (Continuation from Volume 1.)
2		2 BY MR. THACKSTON:
3	DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS	
		, ,
4	WITNESSES	4 context of litigation, presumably other lawyers would
5	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	5 have them, defense lawyers who were involved in the
6	STEVEN COMPTON	6 case who represented companies who were sued, right?
7	BY MR. THACKSTON 6	7 As far as you know, all the information you have was
8	BY MR. PURDY 112	8 information that was shared among all those in the
9	21 Mar 912 112	
	LA COLIEL INE MOL DIE	9 case?
10	LATERIES IN BOMOTE IN B	10 A I would assume so.
	JACQUELINE MOLINE	
11	BY MR. THACKSTON 160	11 Q And you've identified, both by case number
11 12		11 Q And you've identified, both by case number 12 and in your deposition, you take the position that
		12 and in your deposition, you take the position that
12 13		 and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are
12 13 14		 and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right?
12 13 14 15		 and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify
12 13 14 15 16		12 and in your deposition, you take the position that 13 you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are 14 because that's confidential, right? 15 A It's standard medical practice not to identify 16 individuals by names, and in the same way that, I
12 13 14 15		 and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify
12 13 14 15 16		12 and in your deposition, you take the position that 13 you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are 14 because that's confidential, right? 15 A It's standard medical practice not to identify 16 individuals by names, and in the same way that, I
12 13 14 15 16 17		12 and in your deposition, you take the position that 13 you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are 14 because that's confidential, right? 15 A It's standard medical practice not to identify 16 individuals by names, and in the same way that, I 17 believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company 18 names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature, have never seen anyone's name ever put in a paper.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature, have never seen anyone's name ever put in a paper. Sometimes it'll be initials. But it has never been
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature, have never seen anyone's name ever put in a paper. Sometimes it'll be initials. But it has never been that an individual's name is put into a medical article
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature, have never seen anyone's name ever put in a paper. Sometimes it'll be initials. But it has never been
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		and in your deposition, you take the position that you're not going to tell anybody what cases they are because that's confidential, right? A It's standard medical practice not to identify individuals by names, and in the same way that, I believe we discussed on Monday we don't discuss company names. But certainly I have never in my life, and I read thousands of articles in the medical literature, have never seen anyone's name ever put in a paper. Sometimes it'll be initials. But it has never been that an individual's name is put into a medical article

PageID: 172220

Page 206 Page 208 1 wrote about it because he gave it to himself. 1 and stop there, but to really understand how someone 2 That's, I think, the only time that the name 2 could have an exposure and go beyond just saying what of the person was put in an article that I've read in 3 3 do you do or who do you live with, but ask about other 4 thousands of articles. 4 things. And I think I stated that many times in this 5 5 Q Okay. Well, let me rephrase my question. article and I certainly use that as my conclusion. 6 Q Well, as you know from Dr. Compton's testing Other than putting someone's name in the 6 7 article, no -- you've taken the position that no of the Montana talc samples, all cosmetic talc didn't 8 identifying information can be shared with anyone about 8 necessarily have any asbestos in it, right? 9 who these people were; for example, for case number 9 A From Dr. Compton's testing of the three finished 10 one, you haven't made available any information that 10 bottles, all three of the finished product that he 11 would allow only the people who were involved in the 11 tested that I saw had asbestos in it. If you're asking 12 case to understand who case one may have been, right? 12 me from the samples that were provided to him from the 13 A For the purposes of this paper, no. We 13 mine, not all of them had asbestos in it, that is 14 de-identified it, as is standard medical practice and 14 correct. But the finished products all did. 15 15 is standard practice when we publish the paper, so that Q The 30-year old finished product? 16 the medical community would not have access to the 16 A I don't know the number of years. 17 names of these individuals. 17 Q And you say that you confirm, based on your 18 Q Well, and I guess the significance of that is 18 knowledge, that the people who you're reporting on had 19 somebody who picks up this paper and reads it and sees 19 no other exposure to asbestos, right? 20 that the allegation is that the only known exposure to 20 A As best as I could ascertain. 21 21 asbestos is cosmetic talc, there's no way for anybody Q And one of the -- one of the articles that 22 to look behind that conclusion if they don't have any you cited talks about the fact that the Italian 22 23 idea who the people are, right? 23 registry, the Italian registry that keeps up with 24 A The medical literature is filled with articles 24 different cancers, mentioned that it had a comment 25 where people state their opinions and state their best 25 about some people that had mesothelioma who were Page 207 Page 209 1 information. In the same way that we talked about a hairdressers, right? 1 2 2 paper where you were quoting me lines earlier and it A Correct. 3 3 was someone's opinion that was being quoted that I Q And it said we suspect that that was from 4 might not agree with that would occur in a paper. asbestos in hair dryers, right? 5 That's standard in medical papers. You don't 5 A That's what they had said, it was a possibility. 6 go back and say here's the people, you can go evaluate And it was also clear they never made any mention of 6 7 7 them. That's not done. asking about cosmetic talc. 8 8 Q Well, in this particular case, now you Q This was published what, in the last three or 9 9 believe that the paper can be cited as evidence that four years? 10 10 there's asbestos in cosmetic talc and that causes A I'm sorry, which was? 11 mesothelioma, right? 11 Q The Italian registry with that comment. 12 A The point of my paper is to show that in 12 A You're giving me more credit than I'm due, sir. I 13 don't remember the year that they published that individuals for whom a comprehensive exposure history 13 14 was taken that show that they had exposure to cosmetic 14 particular paper. That's reference Number 51, that was 15 tale, that we can think about the fact that cosmetic 15 published eight years ago. 16 talc contains asbestos. 16 Q Were you aware that there was asbestos in 17 17 And as has been shown by studies of cosmetic hair dryers? 18 18 talc and it's published in the literature and that A In certain hair dryers, I have heard that it was 19 because we know that there is asbestos present in 19 in some hair dryers. I don't know which ones and don't 20 cosmetic talc, we can think about that as a source of 20 know if they were in Italian hair dryers. 21 mesothelioma. And one of the goals --21 Q You don't know what? I'm sorry. 22 O Sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt. 22 A I don't know if they were in Italian hair dryers. 23 A -- is truly to emphasize that one must take a 23 Q And when you were asked about asbestos in 24 comprehensive history and not just ask someone's job 24 hair dryers, you said you don't think all hairdressers 25 25 and not just ask if their spouse worked with asbestos necessarily had hair dryers, did you?

Document 28952-8 PageID: 172221

	Page 210		Page 212
1	A Not all of them might have had handheld. They may	1	Q And is the document I just showed you that
2	have had the sit in the chair with the curlers in your	2	testimony, written testimony by Jacqueline Moline on
3	hair kind of hair dryers that you see from the 1950s.	3	Northwell Health stationery?
4	Q Do you know whether both contained asbestos	4	A Yes.
5	in the 1970s and 1980s?	5	Q And it says, "Tuesday, before the Committee
6	A I don't know at what point there was a conversion	6	on Oversight and Reform Subcommittee on Economic and
7	to the handheld.	7	Consumer Policy, United States House of
8	Q I've handed you a case report entitled,	8	Representatives, examining" I'm sorry "examining
9	Peritoneal Mesothelioma From Asbestos in Hair Dryers,	9	carcinogens in talc and best methods for asbestos
10	James Dahlgren, International Journal of Occupational	10	detection." Right?
11	and Environmental Health, 2015. Is that an article	11	A That was the title of the session. I didn't come
12	you're familiar with?	12	up with that session title. That wasn't the title
13	A I don't think I've ever seen this. This is	13	of we didn't have titles for our talk. That was the
14	actually no, I haven't seen this.	14	title of what the committee had decided.
15	Q I've handed you a document titled, United	15	Q Thank you.
16	States Consumer Product Safety Commission New	16	So your testimony begins on the next page,
17	Information on Hair Dryers CSP CPSC Hot-Line Swamped	17	"Good afternoon, Chairman," et cetera, right?
18	By Calls, release date April 17, 1979.	18	A Good luck with that one. It's actually much
19	Were you familiar with any of the details of	19	easier to pronounce than it is.
20	the Consumer Product Safety Commission of recall of	20	Q Check that out. Chairman, chair
21	asbestos-containing hair dryers in the late 1970s?	21	A It's Krishnamoorthi.
22	A No.	22	O It's
23	Q I've showed you an article titled, Asbestos	23	A Once you got it, it's easy to say. It's
24	Emissions From Handheld Hair Dryers by William	24	Krishnamoorthi.
25	Hallenbeck of the School of Public Health, University	25	Q Well, I won't try to say that gentleman's
23		23	
1	Page 211 of Illinois Medical Center, from Environmental	1	Page 213 name.
2	Management, Volume 5, appears to be 1981.	2	A I saved you. I saved you that time. Since I
3	Are you familiar with that article?	3	practiced saying it since I had to say it out loud.
4	A No.	4	Q I do appreciate that.
5	Q You testified that you've done a lot of work	5	You gave an example, you said, "It could be
6	with NIOSH?	6	helpful to hear about an individual to better
7	A I am yes. Particularly in my capacity with the	7	understand how cosmetic talc usage might impact one's
8	World Trade Center program.	8	health. Miss D was a 66-year old woman who developed
9		9	shortness of breath," et cetera, right?
	Q Are you familiar with the testing of hair dryers for asbestos emission done by NIOSH and United		A Correct.
10		10	
11	States Consumer Product Safety Commission in 1979?	11	Q And you went on to give some information
12	A No.	12	about this person that you this was an actual person
13	Q I think you also testified on direct about	13	in this case that you were consulting on, right? A Correct.
14	having given testimony before congress recently on	14	
15	issues relating to whether there's asbestos in cosmetic	15	Q And her name didn't start with a D, but you
16	talc, correct?	16	just
17	A I talked about two times I testified in congress;	17	A I made that up.
18	one was related to with the World Trade Center and one	18	Q All right. So it says she worked in the
19	of them was related to cosmetic talc.	19	textile industry, worked with polyester cotton yarn,
20	Q And specifically on the issue of cosmetic	20	worked for tobacco company as a packer, right?
21	talc, have you seen written testimony well, actually	21	A Correct.
22	you submitted it, so I guess you have.	22	Q Has no known exposure to asbestos in these
23	Did you submit to this congressional panel	23	jobs or from home construction activities, right?
1 73 4	what would be your testimony in written form?	24	A Correct.
24 25	A Yes.	25	Q Now, you were engaged by plaintiffs' lawyers

1	D 214		D 21/
1	Page 214 in these cases, and you wrote this article, and you	1	Page 216 that because I'm making the argument that the only way
2	testified in your deposition that you didn't tell them	2	they could have gotten mesothelioma is from cosmetic
3	that you were writing this article, right?	3	talc and I'm saying they weren't exposed to anything
4	A I'm sorry, I didn't tell who?	4	else so I just want to double-check with you on that?
5	Q You didn't tell the plaintiffs' lawyers who	5	You didn't do that, did you?
6	had hired you that you were writing an article?	6	A No. Which is why I said to the best of my ability
7	A When I was in the process of writing the article?	7	I had no information that they had other exposures. I
8	Q Right.	8	did not contact any after or during, during the writing
9	A No. I did not. I did not confer with them.	9	of this paper.
10	Q And by the way, they were there, you recall	10	THE COURT: Let's take a five-minute break.
11	that one of the plaintiffs' lawyers was there at the	11	Members of the jury, you're going to go to
12	hearings sitting behind you?	12	the jury room here on this floor. Leave your notebooks
13	A There were lawyers in the congressional hearing	13	here.
14	room, yes. They were aware that I was testifying.	14	Remember all the instructions I've been
15		15	providing to you. We'll pick you up in five.
	Q I mean, they were there with you when you were testifying, right?	16	
16	, ,		Thank you.
17 18	A Well, the way that sounds isn't quite right. I happened to be there and they were also there.	17 18	(Jury exits.)
			(Recess: 3:34 p.m. to 3:47 p.m.)
19	Q Okay.	19	COURT OFFICER: Remain seated. Jury
20	A I didn't go with them there. They didn't engage	20	entering.
21	me to go there. I was asked by the committee to	21	(Jury enters.)
22	testify.	22	THE COURT: Please be seated. Make sure cell
23	Q I understand. I'm not saying they gave you a	23	phones are turned off.
24	ride or anything, I'm	24	Mr. Thackston, whenever you're ready.
25	A They didn't hire me to go there. I didn't get	25	MR. THACKSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
	Page 215	,	Page 217
1	paid for my testimony.	1	BY MR. THACKSTON:
2	Q I understand. But there were plaintiffs'	2	Q Dr. Moline, I'm handing you a report of your,
3	lawyers there who have retained you, who retained you	3	that you wrote to Simon Greenstone, May 5, 2016, and
4	in this case; somebody from the Simon Greenstone	4	we've marked out the personal identifying information
5	Panatier firm was there during your congressional	5	but well, not all of it. It's a very generic name,
6	testimony sitting right behind you, right?		
7		6	though.
	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may	7	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your
8	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work	7 8	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on
8 9	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to	7 8 9	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right?
8 9 10	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks	7 8 9 10	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you
8 9 10 11	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was	7 8 9 10 11	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there.	7 8 9 10 11 12	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19.
8 9 10 11 12 13	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you written testimony, was the example that you gave in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example that you gave in your written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other asbestos, you didn't before or after you published	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in your written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article? MR. PURDY: Objection, your Honor.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other asbestos, you didn't before or after you published the paper you never contacted the lawyers involved who	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in your written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article? MR. PURDY: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sidebar.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other asbestos, you didn't before or after you published the paper you never contacted the lawyers involved who had hired you and said look, I'm going to make this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article? MR. PURDY: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sidebar. (Sidebar.)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other asbestos, you didn't before or after you published the paper you never contacted the lawyers involved who had hired you and said look, I'm going to make this assertion in this paper that they weren't exposed to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article? MR. PURDY: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sidebar. (Sidebar.) MR. PURDY: The objection is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Congress is open to the public. Anyone who may want to go watch how our Government is supposed to work is welcomed to sit in there and anyone is welcome to enter the Capitol. There happened to be folks including someone from Mr. Purdy's firm there. I was not aware he was going to be there. Q Doctor, again, I'm not implying an ill motive. I'm just asking whether anybody from Simon Greenstone was there. A True, true. Q They were there. They heard you testify. And this conclusion that you reach that none of the people in these cases was exposed to any other asbestos, you didn't before or after you published the paper you never contacted the lawyers involved who had hired you and said look, I'm going to make this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	though. Could you glance at this? This has got your letterhead on it, and I believe it's signed, yes, on page 19, you signed it, right? A Yes. I would have signed it on on page 19, you said? Yes. Q Page 19. Anyway, so this, I know you don't want to identify by name and we're not identifying by name, we're just identifying by the case, and you gave an example before congress and you gave an example in you written testimony, was the example that you gave in your written testimony and before congress one of the cases from your article? MR. PURDY: Objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Sidebar. (Sidebar.)

	Page 218		Page 220
1	a very not so back-door attempt to get the identities	1	I have no issue with you cross-examining
2	of the person in the study. Mr. Thackston tried to do	2	individually with regard to all of the different
3	that at deposition, was shut down. She was directed to	3	reports that you have or that she may have referenced
4	the legal staff of the hospital. To my knowledge, he	4	in that paper that she co-authored, but seeking to
5	(inaudible). So even in old reports in isolation, but	5	identify is inappropriate and so I'm not going to
6	to try to patch them up through the back door is in	6	permit that.
7	violation of the paper. When she put together written	7	MR. THACKSTON: I'm not going to identify the
8	background information of the paper, this is	8	person at all.
9	(inaudible).	9	THE COURT: No, but that question would seek
10	THE COURT: You had access to the unredacted?	10	to identify to you, and that I'm not going to permit.
11	MR. THACKSTON: Yes.	11	I don't have any issue with individual questions, but
12	THE COURT: So you know the identity of this	12	that question I'm not going to allow.
13	person?	13	MR. THACKSTON: Well, I'm going to try I'm
14	MR. THACKSTON: Yes. It's a case we're	14	not exactly sure what I'm allowed to do, but I'll try
15	involved in. We're the defendant.	15	my best to
16	THE COURT: So how, tell me the purpose of	16	THE COURT: Well, hold on. What I'm saying
17	what you're seeking to do now.	17	is I have no issue with you cross-examining with regard
18	MR. THACKSTON: The purpose, your Honor,	18	to any report that you have, any person that's
19	is that her testimony is that these are litigation	19	mentioned by type or case of description in her study,
20	cases so everything she has, I have. It's all public.	20	in her published paper or the testimony she gave to
21	I don't want anything that's not public information and	21	congress.
22	I'm not trying to identify, why would I want to	22	But you're asking Dr. Moline to testify as to
23	identify the person?	23	whether this person is that person that you referred
24	THE COURT: Didn't that question you just	24	to, that I will not permit because that is seeking the
25	asked seek to identify the person?	25	identification of who that person may be to you, and
	Page 219		
1	MR. THACKSTON: Not the person, but the case.	1	Page 221 that I will not permit. It's inappropriate. It's
2	We can call it case B, right? It's not a matter of	2	
-			
3			improper. (Sidehar ends.)
3	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether	3	(Sidebar ends.)
4	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about?	3 4	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question
4 5	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes.	3 4 5	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained.
4 5 6	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be	3 4 5 6	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON:
4 5 6 7	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you.	3 4 5 6 7	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't
4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should	3 4 5 6 7 8	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress,
4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's completely (inaudible). I'm not saying she did	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have said what company.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's completely (inaudible). I'm not saying she did anything wrong. I'm just saying based on your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's completely (inaudible). I'm not saying she did	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have said what company. Q I mean, worked for a textile mill, tobacco
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's completely (inaudible). I'm not saying she did anything wrong. I'm just saying based on your methodology, you didn't know this woman has a sworn	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have said what company. Q I mean, worked for a textile mill, tobacco company; in fact, the example you give here in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: You mean you want to know whether this is Miss D that she testified about? MR. THACKSTON: Yes. THE COURT: Well, then that would be identifying the person to you. MR. THACKSTON: Exactly. Well, she should identify the person to me. THE COURT: Why? MR. THACKSTON: Well, because she made a representation in the public literature that there's no other exposure to asbestos, right? Well, I'm in the case and I know that not to be true. And I'm not trying to malign her about it, but she's her protocol didn't involve trying to get any other information and whether there is information from public sources that (inaudible). I should be entitled to cross-examine her on that. But otherwise, it's completely (inaudible). I'm not saying she did anything wrong. I'm just saying based on your methodology, you didn't know this woman has a sworn complaint saying she was exposed to thermal insulation	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Sidebar ends.) THE COURT: So the objection to that question is sustained. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Dr. Moline, you gave the example, and I don't want anybody's name, I don't want you to identify anybody, when you wrote your testimony for congress, did you take portions directly from a report for a case you're involved in? A I don't believe I took anything verbatim. I may have looked to see an illustrative case and I wouldn't have remembered all the facts of the medical course which I think was described, nor the exposure scenario, so I would have had to refer to something. Q You would have you would have said where the person worked, right, what their job was? A I would have said the category. I wouldn't have said what company. Q I mean, worked for a textile mill, tobacco company; in fact, the example you give here in the testimony, you say "she worked in a textile industry

	Page 222		Page 224
1	A Correct.	1	wasn't saying anything else. I just wanted to make
2	Q And you would have written a report for	2	sure if it went further, there was someone's name here.
3	someone in her case making those kinds of statements,	3	Q I understand. The fact that there is a
4	in your report you would also say what her jobs were,	4	lawsuit is public record, right? I mean, this person's
5	right?	5	lawsuit is a public record. And at some point you will
6	A If I had written a report on her, yes.	6	give a deposition in that case, as far as you know,
7	Q And you wouldn't know if in another	7	right?
8	context, outside of the particular lawsuit where they	8	A Depends on the state, and it could be, yes.
9	were discussing the claims and questions were asked,	9	Q Okay. And I just want you to look at this
10	you wouldn't know whether she filed another claim or	10	and see, you recognize the type of document that it is,
11	someone on her behalf filed another claim in another	11	North Carolina Industrial Commission, and I just want
12	context saying she was exposed to asbestos on her job?	12	you to read what the next line says?
13	You just wouldn't have that information, would you?	13	A Where am I looking?
14	A If she had made are you saying like a workers'	14	Q It says, "Claim by employee."
15	compensation claim?	15	A Okay.
16	Q Yes.	16	Q "Representative or dependent."
17	A I mean, typically I'm provided with all that kind	17	A Okay.
18	of information or an individual is asked about that	18	Q "For benefits for lung disease, including
19	during their deposition, where they're asked if they've	19	asbestosis, silicosis and byssinosis."
20	ever filed any type of lawsuit or filed a workers'	20	A Okay.
21	compensation claim. So I might not have had all the	21	Q "The use of this form is required under the
22	documents, but I would have had some information.	22	provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act."
23	Q Would that be relevant if somebody had a	23	A Okay.
24	worker's comp claim where they were saying they were	24	Q Right?
25	exposed to asbestos on-the-job?	25	Employer, and I won't say the name of the
	exposed to assessos on the jos.	23	Employer, and I won't say the name of the
1	Page 223	1	Page 225
1	A If they said that they were exposed and they had	1	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment?
2	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure.	2	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what
2 3	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is	2 3	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on?
2 3 4	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow	2 3 n 4	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13.
2 3 4 5	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24,	2 3 n 4 5	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13	2 3 n 4 5 6	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment
2 3 4 5 6 7	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is	2 3 n 4 5 6 7	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what?	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name on page 12, so I just was making sure you knew that. I	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name on page 12, so I just was making sure you knew that. I didn't know who would see it.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Right? "Employee was exposed to the hazards of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name on page 12, so I just was making sure you knew that. I didn't know who would see it. Q You're the retained expert in this case.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Right? "Employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing product while employed by this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name on page 12, so I just was making sure you knew that. I didn't know who would see it. Q You're the retained expert in this case. They're the law firm in this case. The judge isn't	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Right? "Employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing product while employed by this employer." Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A If they said that they were exposed and they had exposure, sure. Q So I'd ask you to look at page this is from the North Carolina Industrial Commission, and dow at the bottom left-hand corner you see NCIC, July 24, 2019, 1 of 25 pages. If you look at page 13 A This is not fully redacted. The name is throughout this document. Q The name what? A The name of the individual is on many pages here. It's not fully redacted. Q Well, it's not going to be seen by anybody other than A Okay. Q counsel. A I see an individual's name here. I just wanted to bring that to your attention. Q I guess you can see through it. A No. No. I just turned and I saw someone's name on page 12, so I just was making sure you knew that. I didn't know who would see it. Q You're the retained expert in this case.	2 3 n 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employer out loud, and it has dates of employment? MR. PURDY: Mr. Thackston, can I ask what page you're on? MR. THACKSTON: Page 13. A Okay. Q And then on the next page it has employment history and dates, and it says, "If you were exposed to the listed substances while working for this employer. Describe in detail the exposures employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing products while employed by this employer." That's on page 14 of 25 right in the middle of the page. You see that? A I don't know where you're pointing to. MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, may I publish? A In the bottom half? Q Right in the middle. THE COURT: Yes. Go right ahead. BY MR. THACKSTON: Q Right? "Employee was exposed to the hazards of asbestos-containing product while employed by this

25

of the firms, redact any personal information and put

Docur

Document 28952-8	Filed 01/29/24	Page 13 of 16
PageID: 172225		

Page 228 Page 226 1 example before congress, it appears that they, at 1 it out there and say here, here's what I'm basing my 2 least, have made a claim that they were exposed to 2 conclusion on, I'm going to be transparent, here's a 3 asbestos in some form other than just in using cosmetic 3 website, here's all the documents, case 1, case 2, case 4 talc personally and as a hairdresser. 4 3, I want everybody to know what I'm basing my 5 5 Would you agree? conclusion on that these people have meso and their 6 MR. PURDY: I'm going to object, your Honor. 6 only known exposure was to cosmetic talc. 7 7 THE COURT: Objection sustained. You could do that, right? 8 BY MR. THACKSTON: 8 A Theoretically, yes. Q Since you put the article out have you had 9 9 Q And in your deposition you were asked 10 any conversations with any of the lawyers about whether 10 specifically, hey, I think I recognize this case, case 11 your conclusions were correct with respect to the 11 whatever, case number 3, the case of so and so. And 12 alleged exposures by the plaintiffs to cosmetic talc 12 you refused to answer that, right? 13 13 A I am of the opinion that I am not identifying any 14 14 of the individuals in my paper by name as following A I haven't had any conversations with that specific 15 15 question raised to me. standard medical and research practice. 16 Q And no one has contacted you and said look, 16 Q But you would agree, you don't have any 17 if case 6 or case 3 is our case, there's something you 17 contact with these people from the standpoint of a 18 ought to know, there's some other allegations; nobody's 18 medical practice. You are not their physician. You 19 given you that kind of information? 19 are only consulting in their litigation, right? 20 20 A They still have a right to privacy with respect to 21 21 Q Let me get straight, why is it that you the medical community and the medical literature at 22 couldn't -- let me back up. 22 large, and I am respecting that privacy. 23 23 Q I understand. So yesterday when you were on direct 24 examination and you were being asked questions about 24 But you understand, you would agree that Italian talc studies, you had various criticisms of the people involved in their case because they represent 25 25 Page 227 Page 229 studies, right? 1 someone who's been sued already have access to the 1 2 A Yes. 2 medical records, they have access to everything you 3 Q And one of the criticisms was that it wasn't 3 have access to, right, the other people involved in the 4 transparent, that one of the authors had gotten money 4 cases, correct? 5 from Johnson & Johnson or somebody and it wasn't 5 Yes. 6 transparent that they had had a role in some input in Q And you would agree with me, wouldn't you, 6 7 the study, right? that either before or after you published the paper, 8 8 A Right. That there was no disclosure that the other people who might have knowledge of those cases 9 company had had a role in the development protocol and 9 never had an opportunity for input in whether or not 10 10 writing of the paper. those people had other alleged exposures to asbestos; 11 Q And you think that if someone's going to put 11 fair? 12 out an article where they're going to say there are 12 A I did not consult with any attorneys in the no -- we find no mesothelioma among this group of 13 13 preparation of this paper. I based it on the 14 people, that they ought to make the information 14 information I had, which was clearly stated in the 15 available that they're basing that on so other medical 15 methods of this paper in terms of how I obtained the 16 professionals or others can critique that, right? 16 data. I did not have conversations with any other 17 17 individuals apart from my co-authors who had access to A I said that they should let that information that 18 18 they were contacted by a company who approved the the same data I did. 19 protocol and was involved in the writing of the paper, 19 Q And if somebody writes a letter to the editor 20 they should make the readers aware of that, yes. 20 and says well, I think I recognize, I can't say for 21 Q And so in your situation, this is all 21 sure because they won't identify the person, but if 22 information that's been available to all the people 22 that's this case, I'll call it B, then there certainly 23 involved in the cases, it would be possible for you to 23 were other sworn allegations of exposure in that case, 24 24 have someone redact, even someone that worked for one so the premise that there was no other exposure to

asbestos might not be valid; you couldn't really take

25

21

22

23

24

25

A Correct.

occupational use of talcum powder." Right?

And don't we have to, can we fairly conclude

that the three hairdressers here also used hair dryers?

A They might have. That wasn't at all the point of

Page 232 Page 230 1 issue with that, could you? 1 that paragraph. 2 A Well, I could take issue with it. If they 2 But in answer to your question, we can assume 3 provided other information, I think -- the premise of 3 that some of the hairdressers might have used hair 4 the article is that cosmetic talc is associated with 4 dryers. The point of that paragraph was to say that no 5 5 mesothelioma, and the premise is no different from one elicited the history of exposure and asked whether 6 someone who has more than one type of exposure to they used talcum powder that was evident from either 6 7 7 asbestos. the medical records of these individuals that I 8 In this particular group of 33 individuals, I 8 reviewed nor from the published papers. 9 was not aware of any other exposures. Even if they had 9 That was the point of that paragraph. If you 10 additional exposures, it doesn't negate the fact that 10 read it again with the understanding of what we were 11 all 33 had this exposure to asbestos from the cosmetic 11 trying to convey there, that was the point I was trying 12 talc. 12 to make, which is the only thing they asked about was 13 Q Well, that's assuming that the cosmetic talc 13 hair dryers. They didn't consider if they used 14 had asbestos in it, right? cosmetic talc in the course of their occupation. And 14 15 15 A That is the premise of the article that there -they didn't take a full history. That was the point. 16 or that is based on my understanding that there is 16 Q And the point of my question was when you 17 asbestos in cosmetic talc that leads to mesothelioma. 17 wrote this article did you consider that the only 18 O That statement about, the only statement 18 published study mentioning hairdressers said you should 19 about hairdressers that you cite, "Cases of 19 consider their use of hair dryers; did you consider 20 mesothelioma among hairdressers characterized 20 that when you made the statement that the only known 21 21 idiopathic" -exposure for these ladies was cosmetic talc? 22 A Where are you? You've got a lot of highlighting 22 A It's written in the paper that that was 23 there. 23 considered, yes. 24 Q True. 24 Q That hair dryers were considered as a A Just --25 25 possible exposure? Page 231 Page 233 1 Q See the arrow now? 1 A It's certainly in Italy they described it in that. 2 2 A Okay. Yeah. 3 Q "Cases of mesothelioma among hairdressers 3 Q You say here, "Like Wagner, we present 33 4 characterized as idiopathic also underscore the 4 cases, predominantly of women, who had no known 5 contribution of an incomplete exposure history; the 5 exposure to asbestos other than prolonged use of talcum 6 potential failure to identify the use of talcum powder powder." Right? 6 7 7 exposure in their work would prevent the linking of A Correct. 8 occupational exposure to asbestos to their 8 Q Based on the Italian study that you cite, 9 mesothelioma. 9 another known use would be hair dryers, right? 10 10 "In our paper, there were three female A It's possible. We don't know what years they used 11 hairdressers who regularly used talcum powder in their 11 it and whether they used hair dryers that had asbestos 12 work. It was unclear from any of the histories noted 12 in it. We don't know all hair dryers have asbestos. 13 in the medical records that these women were asked if 13 Q But to your point that what you were trying 14 they used talcum powder as part of their haircutting 14 to do was educate people about what to ask in a work 15 15 history, it seems like that would be one of the things process." 16 Then it says, "In a report from the National 16 you would say that's what people should ask; if you're 17 Meso Registry of Italy, staff noted a cluster of talking to a hairdresser, let's find out if they used a 17 18 18 mesothelioma due to unknown exposure among hair dryer and during what years and maybe compare it 19 hairdressers, but only examined hair dryer use as a 19 to the list of known hair dryers with asbestos. 20 20 potential exposure. There was no discussion of the You don't make that suggestion, do you?

Document 28952-8

PageID: 172226

21

22

23

24

25

A I did not in this paper.

be associated with mesothelioma, right?

O You said you educated yourself and reached a

conclusion in 2007 that you thought cosmetic talc might

A I said I started looking at it in 2007/2008 and

	Page 234		Page 236
1	it's continued for the past, what is it, 13 years.	1	MR. THACKSTON: Your Honor, I don't know what
2	I've certainly continued to learn more every year.	2	time you want to stop. I'm at a
3	Q And this was published January 2020, I guess	3	THE COURT: Breaking point?
4	you submitted it sometime at the end of 2019?	4	MR. THACKSTON: transition point.
5	A It was published online in October 2019.	5	THE COURT: About how much longer do you
6	Q And your publication online in October 2019	6	anticipate?
7	was your first effort to get the word out that you	7	MR. THACKSTON: I think I've only got two
8	thought that occupational medicine doctors ought to ask	8	more topics, your Honor, so maybe I can clean it up
9	people about ask people about exposure to cosmetic	9	tonight, do it quickly in the morning.
10	talc, right?	10	THE COURT: Dr. Moline, can you come tomorrow
11	A This was my first paper, yes, about this	11	morning?
12	particular topic. I've written 70 odd other papers on	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	other topics. I do more than just this in my	13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	profession.	14	Members of the jury, we'll finish up now for
15	Q I understand.	15	today. Please place your notebooks in the envelopes
16	But you thought it was, that in 2007 you were	16	provided. Leave them with the officer on the way out.
17	convinced that you thought cosmetic tale was causing	17	They'll be locked in my office overnight. No one has
18	mesothelioma among people who were exposed to it even	18	access to them.
19	getting haircuts. You waited 13 years to try to get	19	No discussions with regard to this case,
20	that word out.	20	including the testimony you heard this afternoon. No
21	Is that what you're telling me?	21	research of any kind whatsoever.
22	A Okay. I was presented with a question in 2007. I	22	We'll see you tomorrow morning at 8:45.
23	began looking at it in 2007. And in order to write a	23	Hopefully we can start by 9.
24	paper you need to accumulate enough folks, and I also	24	Dr. Moline, can you be here by 9?
25	needed to have enough time set aside to write the	25	THE WITNESS: Sure. I can be here by 9. I
	Page 235		Page 237
1	paper. And there are other things that go on in one's	1	can get here earlier if you want.
2	life and there are a whole bunch of papers I'd like to	2	THE COURT: 8:45, be ready to start at 9.
3	be publishing that I don't have the time to write	3	Okay. Thank you.
4	about.	4	(Jury exits.)
5	So it took a while. We worked on this paper	5	THE COURT: Off the record.
6	starting in, goodness gracious, 2017 I think we started	6	(Proceedings adjourn at 4:15 p.m.)
7	writing this paper. There was some interruptions. So	7	, C ,
8	it takes a while to get everything together to write a	8	
9	paper, but it also takes time to think about how you're	9	
10	going to write a paper.	10	
11	It isn't just when you start looking at a	11	
12	question that you say, oh, let me write a paper. You	12	
13	don't have enough information or enough to make it	13	
14	meaningful.	14	
15	Q Well, short of writing a paper and trying to	15	
16	get it published, you didn't write a letter to FDA or	16	
17	anyone else saying hey, I'm an occupational medicine	17	
18	specialist, I think that cosmetic talc is causing	18	
19	mesothelioma in people that use it at home or get	19	
20	haircuts and I think you ought to do something about	20	
21	it; you didn't write a letter like that to the FDA, did	21	
22	you?	22	
23	A I didn't write a letter to FDA. I have given	23	
	5		
24	lectures to my colleagues about that, but I did not	24	

	Page 238	
1	CERTIFICATION	
2		
3	I, ANDREA F. NOCKS, C.S.R., License Number	
4	30XI00157300, a Certified Court Reporter, in and for the	
5	State of New Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to	
6	be prepared in full compliance with the current	
7	Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true	
8	and accurate non-compressed transcript to the best of my	
9	knowledge and ability.	
10		
	Andrea Abdrs CCR CER	
11	ANDREA F. NOCKS MARCH 11, 2020	
12	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER	
13	MIDDLESEX COUNTY COURTHOUSE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		